

Corner 7th and Eye. 824, 826-828 7th Street.

\$1.00 Dress Goods for 50c. yd.

Right at the beginning of the season when you want them. New goods, the most desirable suiting for fall and winter wear—"HOMESPUNS," 52 inches wide, in 25 different colors, including Oxford grays, blues, browns, greens, etc.; \$1 quality. Rebuilding Sale Price

5c. qual. Ladies' Kid Gloves, sizes 5 1/2 to 6 1/2, slightly damaged. 2 1/2c. each. 39c. pair. 8 1/2c. cake.

Silks.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

Black Taffeta, 26 inches wide; excellent value for 50c. yard. Building Sale Price. 59c.

MARYLAND CENSUS

Director Merriam Announces Result of Investigation.

FEDERAL AND STATE FIGURES CORRECT

Kent County Representation Not to Be Reduced.

MISTAKES UNAVOIDABLE

Director Merriam of the census office today gave out a statement in which the differences between the federal and state censuses of Kent county, Maryland, explained in detail. The director shows that the census taken by the state, which reduced the population of Kent county 998, and thereby also reduced by one the representation of the county in the state legislature, was erroneous.

Director Merriam says: "It is well known that the population of Kent county has been reported by the federal authorities to have been 18,748 in June, 1900, while the state authorities reported it to have been 17,750 in May, 1901, a difference of 998. The investigation of this difference, with a view to a correct and satisfactory explanation, has been a work of great difficulty, and has just been completed.

"According to the federal plan of subdivision of territory, there were eleven enumeration districts in the county, in two of which the figures were so close that it was difficult to get a correct count. In the other nine districts the count was correct, and the house canvass in more than nine of the eleven districts.

Method of Investigation.

"The method of investigation pursued was as follows:

"An alphabetical card catalogue was first made of the names upon the federal census schedules. These cards were taken to Baltimore, and by the courtesy of the state superintendent of census, a force of clerks, detailed for that purpose from Washington, was permitted to compare the state and federal lists name by name, checking those returned by both sets of enumerators, and leaving unchecked those which were not on the state schedule.

"At the same time, a list was made of names found upon the state but not upon the federal schedules. After the completion of these supplementary lists, a detail of clerks was sent to Kent county for the purpose of inquiring with reference to each name on either list, whether the person indicated was correctly returned by the federal or by the state enumerators, as the case might be. After the reports made by these special agents in the field had been received, a thorough search was made in the office for duplicates of all sorts in the federal and state lists separately, and as between the two, and the results of this search in detail which has delayed the publication of any authoritative statement with reference to the result.

"Obviously no two lists of persons residing in any given territory, taken at intervals of eleven months apart, could, by any possibility, be identical. Part of the difference between the two lists, therefore, was accounted for by the number of births, deaths and changes of residence which occurred between June, 1900, and May, 1901.

Perfectly Impossible.

"It is impossible to enumerate a population anywhere, under any circumstances, without the occurrence of errors, of omission on the one hand and of duplication on the other. The theory upon which statistical reasoning proceeds is that the number of errors by excess approximately equals the number of errors by defect. These duplications occur in various ways, and are usually through the enumeration of the same person as a member of the family to which he belongs and also, in the same district or in some other district, as a head of the household in which he is employed or actually resides. The duplications between the two sets of schedules are due to differences of names, and the fact that the same individual is reported under one name by one enumerator and under another name by another, as, for instance, when a girl who was single in June, 1900, was reported by her maiden name at that date, was reported under the name of her husband in May, 1901, having married during the year. There are also many persons (for the most part negroes) who are known by various names in the community in which they live, being called by one name and moving and sometimes by another. This happens, for instance, where a mother has children by different husbands, who are reported by one enumerator under the name of their actual father and by another under the name of their stepfather. These and other similar cases illustrate the difficulty of determining with absolute accuracy the number of persons in a territory, and in instance whether the same individual is or is not meant by both sets of enumerators.

"The house to house canvass (omitting the families who were identified by the census office in individual instances, as turned by both sets of enumerators) which was made by the special agents of the census office in Kent county enabled the office to determine, in individual instances, nearly all, if not all, of the questions thus raised, and also to ascertain what individuals returned by the federal enumerators, but not by those of the state, had been added or removed from the district or from the county before the state census; also, in many cases, whether the federal enumerators had been born or moved into the district or the county during the eleven months following June 1, 1900.

General Result.

"The general result of this investigation is as follows:

"The population of the five election districts canvassed was, according to the federal census, 15,640. By the state census it was 14,331. The federal census therefore shows 1,309 more persons than the state enumeration. The number of names found on both sets of schedules is 12,488, leaving 3,152 names on the federal, but not on the state list, and 2,045 names on the state, but not on the federal list, which makes a difference, as above, of 1,107.

"The accuracy of the state census need not be discussed, except in so far as a study of the census has incidentally thrown light upon the question of the accuracy of the federal census.

"Of the 3,152 names on the federal but not on the state list, our investigation shows that 1,387 had been removed from the enumeration districts in which they were reported. These were taken from the state list, and May 1, 1901; that 180 had died; that 168 were duplicated in the districts in which they were reported, and duplicated in other districts in the county; that 84 were improperly enumerated; that 139 were unenumerated; or 18 had been added to the list, making 2,432 names in all which should not have been reported by the state enumerators and were not, and leaving 720 which should have been returned by them, but were in fact omitted.

Federal Census Too Small.

"With these facts in our possession, the federal census requires correction in the first instance by the elimination of 647 names duplicated or improperly enumerated.

"It also requires correction by the addition of 210 names reported by the state census enumerators, who were found by the special agent in the field to have been residents of the county in June, 1900, but omitted from the federal census. If full reliance could be placed upon the accuracy of the state census in the matter of the dates of birth and length of residence in the county, that census shows 746 other persons omitted by the federal enumerators, who have not been counted, because the facts have not been ascertained by personal inquiry, and a doubt therefore exists with reference to them.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

"The state census, May 1, 1901, also requires correction by the addition of 720 names omitted by the state enumerators, who were found by the special agent in the field to have been residents of the county in June, 1900, but omitted from the federal census. If full reliance could be placed upon the accuracy of the state census in the matter of the dates of birth and length of residence in the county, that census shows 746 other persons omitted by the federal enumerators, who have not been counted, because the facts have not been ascertained by personal inquiry, and a doubt therefore exists with reference to them.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

"The state census, May 1, 1901, also requires correction by the addition of 720 names omitted by the state enumerators, who were found by the special agent in the field to have been residents of the county in June, 1900, but omitted from the federal census. If full reliance could be placed upon the accuracy of the state census in the matter of the dates of birth and length of residence in the county, that census shows 746 other persons omitted by the federal enumerators, who have not been counted, because the facts have not been ascertained by personal inquiry, and a doubt therefore exists with reference to them.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

"The state census, May 1, 1901, also requires correction by the addition of 720 names omitted by the state enumerators, who were found by the special agent in the field to have been residents of the county in June, 1900, but omitted from the federal census. If full reliance could be placed upon the accuracy of the state census in the matter of the dates of birth and length of residence in the county, that census shows 746 other persons omitted by the federal enumerators, who have not been counted, because the facts have not been ascertained by personal inquiry, and a doubt therefore exists with reference to them.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

GOLDENBERG'S GOLDENBERG'S GOLDENBERG'S

Director Merriam Announces Result of Investigation.

FEDERAL AND STATE FIGURES CORRECT

Kent County Representation Not to Be Reduced.

MISTAKES UNAVOIDABLE

Director Merriam of the census office today gave out a statement in which the differences between the federal and state censuses of Kent county, Maryland, explained in detail. The director shows that the census taken by the state, which reduced the population of Kent county 998, and thereby also reduced by one the representation of the county in the state legislature, was erroneous.

Director Merriam says: "It is well known that the population of Kent county has been reported by the federal authorities to have been 18,748 in June, 1900, while the state authorities reported it to have been 17,750 in May, 1901, a difference of 998. The investigation of this difference, with a view to a correct and satisfactory explanation, has been a work of great difficulty, and has just been completed.

"According to the federal plan of subdivision of territory, there were eleven enumeration districts in the county, in two of which the figures were so close that it was difficult to get a correct count. In the other nine districts the count was correct, and the house canvass in more than nine of the eleven districts.

Method of Investigation.

"The method of investigation pursued was as follows:

"An alphabetical card catalogue was first made of the names upon the federal census schedules. These cards were taken to Baltimore, and by the courtesy of the state superintendent of census, a force of clerks, detailed for that purpose from Washington, was permitted to compare the state and federal lists name by name, checking those returned by both sets of enumerators, and leaving unchecked those which were not on the state schedule.

"At the same time, a list was made of names found upon the state but not upon the federal schedules. After the completion of these supplementary lists, a detail of clerks was sent to Kent county for the purpose of inquiring with reference to each name on either list, whether the person indicated was correctly returned by the federal or by the state enumerators, as the case might be. After the reports made by these special agents in the field had been received, a thorough search was made in the office for duplicates of all sorts in the federal and state lists separately, and as between the two, and the results of this search in detail which has delayed the publication of any authoritative statement with reference to the result.

"Obviously no two lists of persons residing in any given territory, taken at intervals of eleven months apart, could, by any possibility, be identical. Part of the difference between the two lists, therefore, was accounted for by the number of births, deaths and changes of residence which occurred between June, 1900, and May, 1901.

Perfectly Impossible.

"It is impossible to enumerate a population anywhere, under any circumstances, without the occurrence of errors, of omission on the one hand and of duplication on the other. The theory upon which statistical reasoning proceeds is that the number of errors by excess approximately equals the number of errors by defect. These duplications occur in various ways, and are usually through the enumeration of the same person as a member of the family to which he belongs and also, in the same district or in some other district, as a head of the household in which he is employed or actually resides. The duplications between the two sets of schedules are due to differences of names, and the fact that the same individual is reported under one name by one enumerator and under another name by another, as, for instance, when a girl who was single in June, 1900, was reported by her maiden name at that date, was reported under the name of her husband in May, 1901, having married during the year. There are also many persons (for the most part negroes) who are known by various names in the community in which they live, being called by one name and moving and sometimes by another. This happens, for instance, where a mother has children by different husbands, who are reported by one enumerator under the name of their actual father and by another under the name of their stepfather. These and other similar cases illustrate the difficulty of determining with absolute accuracy the number of persons in a territory, and in instance whether the same individual is or is not meant by both sets of enumerators.

"The house to house canvass (omitting the families who were identified by the census office in individual instances, as turned by both sets of enumerators) which was made by the special agents of the census office in Kent county enabled the office to determine, in individual instances, nearly all, if not all, of the questions thus raised, and also to ascertain what individuals returned by the federal enumerators, but not by those of the state, had been added or removed from the district or from the county before the state census; also, in many cases, whether the federal enumerators had been born or moved into the district or the county during the eleven months following June 1, 1900.

General Result.

"The general result of this investigation is as follows:

"The population of the five election districts canvassed was, according to the federal census, 15,640. By the state census it was 14,331. The federal census therefore shows 1,309 more persons than the state enumeration. The number of names found on both sets of schedules is 12,488, leaving 3,152 names on the federal, but not on the state list, and 2,045 names on the state, but not on the federal list, which makes a difference, as above, of 1,107.

"The accuracy of the state census need not be discussed, except in so far as a study of the census has incidentally thrown light upon the question of the accuracy of the federal census.

"Of the 3,152 names on the federal but not on the state list, our investigation shows that 1,387 had been removed from the enumeration districts in which they were reported. These were taken from the state list, and May 1, 1901; that 180 had died; that 168 were duplicated in the districts in which they were reported, and duplicated in other districts in the county; that 84 were improperly enumerated; that 139 were unenumerated; or 18 had been added to the list, making 2,432 names in all which should not have been reported by the state enumerators and were not, and leaving 720 which should have been returned by them, but were in fact omitted.

Federal Census Too Small.

"With these facts in our possession, the federal census requires correction in the first instance by the elimination of 647 names duplicated or improperly enumerated.

"It also requires correction by the addition of 210 names reported by the state census enumerators, who were found by the special agent in the field to have been residents of the county in June, 1900, but omitted from the federal census. If full reliance could be placed upon the accuracy of the state census in the matter of the dates of birth and length of residence in the county, that census shows 746 other persons omitted by the federal enumerators, who have not been counted, because the facts have not been ascertained by personal inquiry, and a doubt therefore exists with reference to them.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

"The state census, May 1, 1901, also requires correction by the addition of 720 names omitted by the state enumerators, who were found by the special agent in the field to have been residents of the county in June, 1900, but omitted from the federal census. If full reliance could be placed upon the accuracy of the state census in the matter of the dates of birth and length of residence in the county, that census shows 746 other persons omitted by the federal enumerators, who have not been counted, because the facts have not been ascertained by personal inquiry, and a doubt therefore exists with reference to them.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

Corrected Figures.

"When these corrections are made, the true population of the county, June 1, 1900, appears to have been 18,748. If the 746 names in doubt were also added it would be 19,095.

GOLDENBERG'S GOLDENBERG'S GOLDENBERG'S

Director Merriam Announces Result of Investigation.

FEDERAL AND STATE FIGURES CORRECT

Kent County Representation Not to Be Reduced.

MISTAKES UNAVOIDABLE

Director Merriam of the census office today gave out a statement in which the differences between the federal and state censuses of Kent county, Maryland, explained in detail. The director shows that the census taken by the state, which reduced the population of Kent county 998, and thereby also reduced by one the representation of the county in the state legislature, was erroneous.

Director Merriam says: "It is well known that the population of Kent county has been reported by the federal authorities to have been 18,748 in June, 1900, while the state authorities reported it to have been 17,750 in May, 1901, a difference of 998. The investigation of this difference, with a view to a correct and satisfactory explanation, has been a work of great difficulty, and has just been completed.

"According to the federal plan of subdivision of territory, there were eleven enumeration districts in the county, in two of which the figures were so close that it was difficult to get a correct count. In the other nine districts the count was correct, and the house canvass in more than nine of the eleven districts.

Method of Investigation.

"The method of investigation pursued was as follows:

"An alphabetical card catalogue was first made of the names upon the federal census schedules. These cards were taken to Baltimore, and by the courtesy of the state superintendent of census, a force of clerks, detailed for that purpose from Washington, was permitted to compare the state and federal lists name by name, checking those returned by both sets of enumerators, and leaving unchecked those which were not on the state schedule.

"At the same time, a list was made of names found upon the state but not upon the federal schedules. After the completion of these supplementary lists, a detail of clerks was sent to Kent county for the purpose of inquiring with reference to each name on either list, whether the person indicated was correctly returned by the federal or by the state enumerators, as the case might be. After the reports made by these special agents in the field had been received, a thorough search was made in the office for duplicates of all sorts in the federal and state lists separately, and as between the two, and the results of this search in detail which has delayed the publication of any authoritative statement with reference to the result.

"Obviously no two lists of persons residing in any given territory, taken at intervals of eleven months apart, could, by any possibility, be identical. Part of the difference between the two lists, therefore, was accounted for by the number of births, deaths and changes of residence which occurred between June, 1900, and May, 1901.

Perfectly Impossible.

"It is impossible to enumerate a population anywhere, under any circumstances, without the occurrence of errors, of omission on the one hand and of duplication on the other. The theory upon which statistical reasoning proceeds is that the number of errors by excess approximately equals the number of errors by defect. These duplications occur in various ways, and are usually through the enumeration of the same person as a member of the family to which he belongs and also, in the same district or in some other district, as a head of the household in which he is employed or actually resides. The duplications between the two sets of schedules are due to differences of names, and the fact that the same individual is reported under one name by one enumerator and under another name by another, as, for instance, when a girl who was single in June, 1900, was reported by her maiden name at that date, was reported under the name of her husband in May, 1901, having married during the year. There are also many persons (for the most part negroes) who are known by various names in the community in which they live, being called by one name and moving and sometimes by another. This happens, for instance, where a mother has children by different husbands, who are reported by one enumerator under the name of their actual father and by another under the name of their stepfather. These and other similar cases illustrate the difficulty of determining with absolute accuracy the number of persons in a territory, and in instance whether the same individual is or is not meant by both sets of enumerators.

"The house to house canvass (omitting the families who were identified by the census office in individual instances, as turned by both sets of enumerators) which was made by the special agents of the census office in Kent county enabled the office to determine, in individual instances, nearly all, if not all, of the questions thus raised, and also to ascertain what individuals returned by the federal enumerators, but not by those of the state, had been added or removed from the district or from the county before the state census; also, in many cases, whether the federal enumerators had been born or moved into the district or the county during the eleven months following June 1, 1900.

General Result.

"The general result of this investigation is as follows:

"The population of the five election districts canvassed was, according to the federal census, 15,640. By the state census it was 1